

1 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
2 BRUCE A. ERICSON #76342
3 DAVID L. ANDERSON #149604
4 JACOB R. SORENSEN #209134
5 MARC H. AXELBAUM #209855
6 DANIEL J. RICHERT #232208
7 50 Fremont Street
Post Office Box 7880
8 San Francisco, CA 94120-7880
Telephone: (415) 983-1000
9 Facsimile: (415) 983-1200
10 Email: bruce.ericson@pillsburylaw.com

11 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
12 DAVID W. CARPENTER (admitted *pro hac vice*)
13 BRADFORD A. BERENSON (admitted *pro hac vice*)
14 DAVID L. LAWSON (admitted *pro hac vice*)
15 EDWARD R. McNICHOLAS (admitted *pro hac vice*)
16 1501 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
17 Telephone: (202) 736-8010
Facsimile: (202) 736-8711
18 Attorneys for Defendants

19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

20 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

21 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

22 _____
23 TASH HEPTING, et al.,

24 No. C-06-0672-VRW

25 Plaintiffs,
**PROPOSED ORDER DEEMING
CASES RELATED**

26 vs.
27 AT&T CORP., et al.,

28 Defendants.

29 _____
30 TOM CAMPBELL, et al.,

31 No. C-06-3596 VRW

32 Plaintiffs,
33 vs.
34 AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF
35 CALIFORNIA, et al.,

36 [N.D. Cal. Civ. L.R. 3-12, 7-11]

37 Defendants.

38 _____
39

1 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-12 Defendant AT&T CORP. (“AT&T”) has filed an
2 Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should Be Related urging that the
3 subsequently filed case of *Campbell, et al. v. AT&T Communications of California, et al.*,
4 No. C-06-3596-VRW, removed on June 6, 2006 (the “*Campbell* case”) be deemed related
5 to *Hepting et al. v. AT&T Corp. et al.*, C-06-0672-VRW (the “*Hepting* case”).

6 The Court finds that the *Campbell* case and the *Hepting* case **ARE RELATED** as
7 defined by Rule 3-12(b).

8 Dated: June __, 2006.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Hon. Vaughn W. Walker
United States District Chief Judge